

ILLUMINATED BUDGET

- 1 BUDGETS Online
- 2 BILLS and LEGISLATION
- 3 CORPORATE AUTHORS in social media
- 4 CONSENSUS on FACTS
- 5 REPUTATION
- 6 ATTRIBUTION
- 7 INFORMATION DISPLAY
- 8 REACHING an AUDIENCE

4.1 Some disagree on principle

- 4.2.1 Known advocacy so assume disagreement and look for/ invent a problem
- 4.2.2 Cherry picking data
 - 4.2.2.1 Fix by using non-partisan facts and sources
 - 4.2.2.2 External advocates
 - 4.2.2.3 Arguments still occur but they are visible
- 4.2.3 FORUM for information instead of static reports
 - 4.2.3.1 visible discussion
 - 4.2.3.2 DATA plus COMMENTARY
 - 4.2.3.3 Wikipedia? yes and no.
 - 4.2.3.3.1 Not just developing facts describing argument and intent
 - 4.2.3.3.2 Make the argument front-page visible not hidden behind
 - 4.2.3.3.2.1 The goal isn't a conclusion but to make the argument clear. Different than driving to a conclusion.
 - 4.2.3.3.2.2 We don't normally get to see the arguments that led too the result
 - 4.2.3.4 Arguments made directly by the arguer, and not a possible misrepresentation by a third party.

4.2 Some people simply think the facts are distorted

- 4.2.4 May not get people to agree but may disagree for good reasons
 - 4.2.4.1 Consensus (consensus wiki)
 - 4.2.4.1.1 Is it really driving to consensus? More it is a display of varying arguments.
 - 4.2.4.1.2 Another look; C for "common ground" where you find common base-line agreement even if they have different ultimate results/goals.
 - 4.2.4.1.3 Respect and value the dialectic itself;
 - 4.2.4.1.4 Once the base argument has been made, new people can jump in and refine, or note the common ground, using a new viewpoint
 - 4.2.4.1.5 With argument in play, an outsider can note the fallacies, perhaps.
 - 4.2.4.1.6 See also: BALLOT INITIATIVES
 - 4.2.4.1.6.1 common goal already in place
 - 4.2.4.1.6.2 Looking for basic consensus on common LANGUAGE for it
 - 4.2.4.1.6.3 Detail organization
 - 4.2.4.1.6.4 Specific language to meet various group concerns
 - 4.2.4.2 Not ONE consensus, but perhaps a "true" statement of differing and incompatible viewpoints (agree to disagree)
 - 4.2.4.2.1 Reference info (basic statement)
 - 4.2.4.2.2 90% agreement
 - 4.2.4.2.3 10% disagreement
 - 4.2.4.2.4 valid statements of opposing viewpoints (multiple consensus)
 - 4.2.4.3 Transpartisan! Partisan, but across multiple viewpoints
 - 4.2.4.4 Not be accused of distorting facts because various viewpoints well represented

4.2.5 need a TOOL

- 4.2.5.1 Doesn't exist -- consensus wiki
- 4.2.5.2 Might be hard, but it can be done